arXiv:1611.07944v1 [math.AP] 23 Nov 2016

On the well-posedness of the inviscid 2D Boussinesq equation

H. Inci

November 24, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we consider the inviscid 2D Boussinesq equation on the Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s > 2$. Using a geometric approach we show that for any $T > 0$ the corresponding solution map, $(u(0), \theta(0)) \mapsto (u(T), \theta(T))$, is nowhere locally uniformly continuous.

1 Introduction

The initial value problem for the inviscid 2d Boussinesq equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + (u \cdot \nabla)u &= -\nabla p + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} \\ \theta_t + (u \cdot \nabla)\theta &= 0 \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad \theta(0) &= \theta_0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where for $t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $u(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the velocity of the flow, $\theta(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}$ the temperature and $p(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}$ the pressure. Note that for $\theta \equiv 0$ we recover the incompressible 2d Euler. Local well-posedness of (1) is known – see [1]. In particular we have for any $T > 0$ a continuous solution map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_T : U_T \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) &\to H^s(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2), \\ (u_0, \theta_0) &\mapsto (u(T), \theta(T)) \end{aligned}$$

mapping the initial values contained in the domain of definition U_T to the time T values. Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $s > 2$. For any $T > 0$ the solution map Φ_T is nowhere locally uniformly continuous on U_T.*

To prove Theorem 1.1 we will use a geometric formulation of (1). This formulation is just (1) in Lagrangian coordinates, i.e. for u a solution to (1) we consider its flow map

$$\varphi_t = u \circ \varphi, \quad \varphi(0) = \mathrm{id}$$

where id is the identity map in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We thus can write the first equation in (1) as

$$\varphi_{tt} = (u_t + (u \cdot \nabla)u) \circ \varphi = -\nabla p \circ \varphi + \theta \circ \varphi \tag{2}$$

It will turn out that the right handside can be expressed in φ and θ_0, which will give as a second order ODE. The functional space for φ is the diffeomorphism group

$$\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^2) := \{\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \varphi - \mathrm{id} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2), \quad \det(d_x\varphi) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$$

This is a topological group under composition – see [2] for the details. The geometric formulation will be essentially the same as in [5].
The second equation in (1) reads as

$$\theta \circ \varphi = \theta_0$$

i.e. θ_0 is transported by the flow. In particular we have at time $T > 0$

$$\theta(T) = \theta_0 \circ \varphi(T)^{-1}$$

To establish the nonuniformity we will use this formula for $\theta(T)$ to get appropriate variations. The same method was used in [3, 4, 6, 7].

2 The geometric formulation

Throughout this section we assume $s > 2$. To replace ∇p we proceed as in [5] and take the divergence in the first equation of (1)

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \partial_i u_j \partial_j u_i = -\Delta p + \partial_2 \theta$$

where we used $\operatorname{div} u = 0$. By the divergence-freenes we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \partial_i u_j \partial_j u_i = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \partial_i \partial_j (u_i u_j)$$

Using the cut-off $\chi(D)$, with χ the indicator function of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\chi(D)$ the corresponding Fourier multiplier, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla p &= \nabla \chi(D) \Delta^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \partial_i \partial_j (u_i u_j) + \nabla (1 - \chi(D)) \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \partial_i u_j \partial_j u_i - \Delta^{-1} \nabla \partial_2 \theta \\ &=: \nabla B(u,u) - \Delta^{-1} \nabla \partial_2 (\theta_0 \circ \varphi^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing in (2) the pressure term we can write down the initial value problem for φ and $v := \varphi_t$

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \nabla B(v \circ \varphi^{-1}, v \circ \varphi^{-1}) \circ \varphi - [\Delta^{-1} \nabla \partial_2 (\theta_0 \circ \varphi^{-1})] \circ \varphi + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \theta_0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

with initial values $\varphi(0) = \mathrm{id}$ and $v(0) = u_0$ and θ_0 is treated as a parameter. At this point it is not clear whether $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ is respected. This will be addressed below. First we show

Proposition 2.1. *The right handside in* (3) *is analytic in the variables* φ, v *and the parameter* θ_0*. Thus* (3) *is an ODE described by an analytic vector field on* $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ *depending analytically on the parameter* θ_0*.*

Proof. The analyticity of

$$(\varphi, v) \mapsto \nabla B(v \circ \varphi^{-1}, v \circ \varphi^{-1}) \circ \varphi$$

was established in [5]. In [6] the analyticity of

$$(\varphi, \theta_0) \mapsto (\mathcal{R}_k(\theta_0 \circ \varphi^{-1})) \circ \varphi$$

was established where $\mathcal{R}_k = \frac{\partial_k}{(-\Delta)^{1/2}}, k = 1, 2$ is the Riesz operator. By writing for $k = 1, 2$

$$(-\Delta^{-1} \partial_k \partial_2 (\theta_0 \circ \varphi^{-1})) \circ \varphi = [\mathcal{R}_k \left(\left((\mathcal{R}_2 (\theta_0 \circ \varphi^{-1})) \circ \varphi \right) \circ \varphi^{-1} \right)] \circ \varphi$$

we see that

$$(\varphi, \theta_0) \mapsto (-\Delta^{-1}\nabla\partial_2(\theta_0 \circ \varphi^{-1})) \circ \varphi$$

is analytic. Alternatively one can use the splitting $\chi(D)+(1-\chi(D))$ to show this. Altogether we see that (3) is analytic in (φ, v, θ_0). □

By ODE theory (see e.g. [8]) we get for every initial value $\varphi(0) = \mathrm{id}, v(0) = u_0$ and θ_0 a solution (φ, v) on some time interval $[0, T]$. Defining $u := \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}$ we see that u solves

$$u_t + (u \cdot \nabla)u = \nabla B(u, u) - \Delta^{-1}\nabla\partial_2(\theta_0 \circ \varphi^{-1}) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \theta_0 \circ \varphi^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Taking the divergence we see that the θ_0 terms vanish and we are left with

$$\partial_t \operatorname{div} u = \chi(D)\left(2(u \cdot \nabla)\operatorname{div} u + (\operatorname{div} u)^2\right) - (u \cdot \nabla)\operatorname{div} u$$

By doing the same calculations as in [5] we can estimate

$$\partial_t ||\operatorname{div} u||_{L^2}^2 \leq C||\operatorname{div} u||_{L^2}^2$$

showing that $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ is preserved if it is initially zero. Hence for $u_0 \in H^s_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$, the space of divergence-free H^s vector fields, and $\theta_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ we get a solution φ to (3) on some time interval $[0, T]$. By the considerations above we see that

$$u(t) := \varphi_t(t) \circ \varphi(t)^{-1}, \quad \theta(t) := \theta_0 \circ \varphi(t)^{-1}, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

solves the first equation in (1). Furthermore $\operatorname{div} u(t) = 0$ is preserved and we have trivially the second equation in (1). By the local wellposedness of ODEs we thus have (see [5] how to prove the uniqueness part)

Theorem 2.1. *Let $s > 2$. The inviscid Boussinesq system (1) is locally wellposed in H^s.*

3 Nonuniform dependence

In this section we prove the main theorem. We will argue in the line of [6]. Note that the system (1) has the scaling $u_\lambda = \lambda u(\lambda t, x), \theta_\lambda = \lambda^2\theta(\lambda t, x)$, i.e. for u, θ a solution $u_\lambda, \theta_\lambda$ is also a solution. We denote by Φ the time 1

solution map and $U \subset H^s_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ its domain of definition, i.e. for $(u_0, \theta_0) \in U$

$$\Phi(u_0,\theta_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi^{(1)}(u_0,\theta_0) \\ \Phi^{(2)}(u_0,\theta_0) \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} u(1) \\ \theta(1) \end{pmatrix}$$

By the scaling property we see that the time T solution map is given by

$$\Phi_T(u_0,\theta_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi^{(1)}_T(u_0,\theta_0) \\ \Phi^{(2)}_T(u_0,\theta_0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{T}\Phi^{(1)}(Tu_0, T^2\theta_0) \\ \frac{1}{T^2}\Phi^{(2)}(Tu_0, T^2\theta_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus Theorem 1.1 will follow from

Proposition 3.1. *The map Φ is nowhere locally uniformly continuous on U.*

For (3) with initial values $\varphi(0) = \mathrm{id}$, $v(0) = u_0$ and θ_0 we denote for $t \geq 0$

$$\Psi^t(u_0,\theta_0) = \varphi(t)$$

i.e. the time t value of the φ-component. Further we introduce $\Psi \equiv \Psi^1$ for simplicity. Note that $\Psi^t(u_0,\theta_0)$ is analytic in its arguments. We have by the initial condition

$$\partial_t|_{t=0}\, \Psi^t(u_0,\theta_0) = u_0$$

By the scaling property of (1) we have the identity

$$\Psi^t(u_0,\theta_0) = \Psi(tu_0, t^2\theta_0)$$

So taking the t-derivative at $t = 0$ we get

$$d_{(0,0)}\Psi(u_0, 0) = u_0$$

which is the differential of Ψ at the point $(0,0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ in direction of $(u_0, 0)$. With this we have

Lemma 3.2. *Let $U \subseteq H^s_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the domain of definition of the Ψ (resp. Φ). There is subset $S \subseteq U$ which is dense in U, the elements of which are of the form (u_0,θ_0) with θ_0 compactly supported and it has the property*

$\forall w_0 \in S \quad \exists w = (u, 0) \in H^s_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2), x^* \in \mathbb{R}^2$ *with* $(d_{w_0}\Psi(w))(x^*) \neq 0$ *and where the distance of x^* to the support of θ_0 is bigger than* 2.

Proof. We know that that compactly supported functions are dense in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Take an arbitrary $w_0 = (u_0, \theta_0) \in U$ with a compactly supported θ_0 and $u \in H^s_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $u(x^*) \neq 0$ for some $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with a distance of more than 2 to the support of θ_0. Consider now

$$\gamma : t \mapsto \left(d_{tw_0}\Psi(u, 0)\right)(x^*)$$

which is an analytic map with $\gamma(0) = u(x^*) \neq 0$. Therefore we have a sequence $t_n \uparrow 1$ with $\gamma(t_n) \neq 0$. Hence we can put all the $t_n w_0$ into S. This shows the claim. □

With this preparations we can now prove Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. It will be enough to prove that for any $w_0 \in S$ from Lemma 3.2 and any $R > 0$ we have

$$\Phi|_{B_R(w_0)} : w_0 \mapsto \Phi(w_0)$$

is not uniformly continuous. Here $B_R(w_0)$ is the ball of radius R with center $w_0 = (u_0, \theta_0)$, i.e.

$$B_R(w_0) = \{w = (u, \theta) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid ||w||_s < R\}$$

where $||w||_s := \max\{||u - u_0||_s, ||\theta - \theta_0||_s\}$. So we take an arbitrary $w_0 = (u_0, \theta_0) \in S$. Denote by $\varphi_\bullet = \Psi(w_0)$. By Lemma 3.2 there is $w^* = (u^*, 0) \in H^s_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with

$$|\left(d_{w_0} \exp(w^*)\right)(x^*)| > m||w^*||_s$$

for some $m > 0$. By continuity we can choose $R_1 > 0$ with

$$\frac{1}{C_1}||\theta||_s \leq ||\theta \circ \varphi^{-1}||_s \leq C_1||\theta||_s \tag{4}$$

for some $C_1 > 0$ and for all $\theta \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and for all $\varphi \in \Psi\left(B_{R_1}(w_0)\right)$ – see [2] for the justification. By the choice of x^* we have for $w_0 = (u_0, \theta_0)$

$$d := \operatorname{dist}\left(\varphi_\bullet(\operatorname{supp}\theta_0), \varphi_\bullet(B_1(x^*))\right) > 0$$

where dist denotes the distance function for sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$, supp denotes the support of functions and $B_1(x^*)$ is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Further we introduce

$$K_1 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \operatorname{dist}\left(x, \varphi_\bullet(\operatorname{supp}\theta_0)\right) \leq d/4\}$$

and

$$K_2 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \text{dist}\,(x, \varphi_\bullet(B_1(x^*))) \leq d/4\}$$

With this we choose $0 < R_2 \leq R_1$ with

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi_\bullet(x)| \leq d/4 \text{ and } |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| < L|x - y| \tag{5}$$

for some $L > 0$ and for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and for all $\varphi \in \Psi\,(B_{R_2}(w_0))$. This is possible by the Sobolev imbedding of H^s into C^1, i.e

$$||f||_{C^1} \leq C||f||_s, \quad \forall f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

for some $C > 0$. This ensures in particular that

$$\varphi(\text{supp}\,\theta_0) \subseteq K_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(B_1(x^*)) \subseteq K_2$$

for all $\varphi \in \Psi(B_{R_2}(w_0))$. To motivate the next step consider the Taylor expansion of Ψ

$$\Psi(w_0 + h) = \Psi(w_0) + d_{w_0}\Psi(h) + \int_0^1 (1-t) d^2_{w_0+th}\Psi(h,h)\, dt$$

where $d^2_w\Psi$ is the second derivative. With this we choose $0 < R_* \leq R_2$ in such a way that we have

$$||d^2_w\Psi(h_1, h_2)||_s \leq K||h_1||_s||h_2||_s$$

and

$$||d^2_{w_1}\Psi(h_1, h_2) - d^2_{w_2}\Psi(h_1, h_2)||_s \leq K||w_1 - w_2||_s||h_1||_s||h_2||_s$$

for all $w, w_1, w_2 \in B_{R_*}(w_0)$ and $h_1, h_2 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Finally we choose $0 < R_* \leq R_2$ with

$$\max\{CKR_*, CKR_*^2\} < m/8 \tag{6}$$

with the C from the Sobolev imbedding. The goal is now to construct for every $0 < R \leq R_*$ a pair of sequences of initial values

$$(w_0^{(n)})_{n\geq 1}, (\tilde{w}_0^{(n)})_{n\geq 1} \subseteq B_R(w_0)$$

with $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||w_0^{(n)} - \tilde{w}_0^{(n)}||_s = 0$ whereas

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||\Phi(w_0^{(n)}) - \Phi(\tilde{w}_0^{(n)})||_s > 0$$

which would show our claim. So fix now $0 < R \leq R_*$. The first sequence we choose as

$$w_0^{(n)} = w_0 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \theta^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}$$

with some $\theta^{(n)} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $||\theta^{(n)}||_s = R/2$ and $\operatorname{supp} \theta^{(n)} \subseteq B_{r_n}(x^*)$ where

$$r_n = \frac{m}{8nL}||w^*||_s$$

Thus the support of $\theta^{(n)}$ vanishes for $n \to \infty$, but its "mass" remmains constant. The second sequence we get by a perturbation of the first

$$\tilde{w}_0^{(n)} = w_0^{(n)} + \frac{1}{n}w^* = w_0 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \theta^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{n}\begin{pmatrix} u^* \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We clearly have for $n \geq N$ with N large enough

$$(w_0^{(n)})_{n\geq 1}, (\tilde{w}_0^{(n)})_{n\geq 1} \subseteq B_R(w_0) \text{ and } \operatorname{supp} \theta^{(n)} \subseteq B_1(x^*)$$

Further by construction $||w_0^{(n)} - \tilde{w}_0^{(n)}||_s = ||\frac{1}{n}w^*||_s \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. It will be enough to prove

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||\Phi^{(2)}(w_0^{(n)}) - \Phi^{(2)}(\tilde{w}_0^{(n)})||_s > 0$$

for $\Phi^{(2)}$, the θ-component of Φ. We introduce for $n \geq N$

$$\varphi^{(n)} = \Psi(w_0^{(n)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\varphi}^{(n)} = \Psi(\tilde{w}_0^{(n)})$$

We thus have

$$\Phi^{(2)}(w_0^{(n)}) = (\theta_0 + \theta^{(n)}) \circ (\varphi^{(n)})^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^{(2)}(\tilde{w}_0^{(n)}) = (\theta_0 + \theta^{(n)}) \circ (\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)})^{-1}$$

So we consider

$$\begin{aligned} &||(\theta_0 + \theta^{(n)}) \circ (\varphi^{(n)})^{-1} - (\theta_0 + \theta^{(n)}) \circ (\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)})^{-1}||_s \\ &\quad = || \left(\theta_0 \circ (\varphi^{(n)})^{-1} - \theta_0 \circ (\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)})^{-1}\right) + \left(\theta^{(n)} \circ (\varphi^{(n)})^{-1} - \theta^{(n)} \circ (\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)})^{-1}\right) ||_s \end{aligned}$$

Note that the two terms in the first bracket are supported in K_1 and the latter two terms in K_2. Thus we can seperate the expressions (see [6] for the details on this) and it suffices to establish

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||\theta^{(n)} \circ (\varphi^{(n)})^{-1} - \theta^{(n)} \circ (\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)})^{-1}||_s > 0$$

Note that the terms are supported in

$$\overline{\varphi^{(n)}(B_{r_n}(x^*))} \text{ resp. } \overline{\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)}(B_{r_n}(x^*))}$$

To show that we can also seperate these expressions we estimate the difference $\varphi^{(n)} - \tilde{\varphi}^{(n)}$. To do this we use the Taylor expansion. With $h^{(n)} := (0, \theta^{(n)})$ it reads as

$$\varphi^{(n)} = \Psi(w_0^{(n)}) = \Psi(w_0 + h^{(n)}) = \\ \Psi(w_0) + d_{w_0}\Psi(h^{(n)}) + \int_0^1 (1-t) d_{w_0+th^{(n)}}\Psi(h^{(n)}, h^{(n)})\, dt$$

resp.

$$\varphi^{(n)} = \Psi(\tilde{w}_0^{(n)}) = \Psi(w_0 + h^{(n)} + \frac{1}{n}w^*) = \\ \Psi(w_0) + d_{w_0}\Psi(h^{(n)} + \frac{1}{n}w^*) + \int_0^1 (1-t) d_{w_0+th^{(n)}+t\frac{1}{n}w^*}\Psi(h^{(n)} + \frac{1}{n}w^*, h^{(n)} + \frac{1}{n}w^*)\, dt$$

Taking the difference we get

$$\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)} - \varphi^{(n)} = d_{w_0}\Psi(\frac{1}{n}w^*) + I_1 + I_2 + I_3$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(d_{w_0+th^{(n)}+t\frac{1}{n}w^*}\Psi(h^{(n)}, h^{(n)}) - d_{w_0+th^{(n)}}\Psi(h^{(n)}, h^{(n)}) \right) dt$$

and

$$I_2 = 2\int_0^1 (1-t) d_{w_0+th^{(n)}+t\frac{1}{n}w^*}\Psi(h^{(n)}, \frac{1}{n}w^*)$$

and

$$I_3 = \int_0^1 (1-t) d_{w_0+th^{(n)}+t\frac{1}{n}w^*}\Psi(\frac{1}{n}w^*, \frac{1}{n}w^*)$$

We have the estimates

$$||I_1||_s \leq K||\frac{1}{n}w^*||||h^{(n)}||_s^2 = \frac{KR^2}{4n}||w^*||_s$$

and

$$||I_2||_s \leq 2K||h^{(n)}||_s||\frac{1}{n}w^*||_s = \frac{KR}{n}||w^*||_s$$

and

$$||I_3||_s \leq K||\frac{1}{n}w^*||_s^2 = \frac{K}{n^2}||w^*||_s$$

Thus by the choice of R_ast with (6) and the Sobolev imbedding

$$|I_1(x^*)| \leq \frac{CKR^2}{4n}||w^*||_s \leq \frac{m}{8n}||w^*||_s$$

and

$$|I_2(x^*)| \leq \frac{CKR}{n}||w^*||_s \leq \frac{m}{8n}||w^*||_s$$

and

$$|I_3(x^*)| \leq \frac{CK}{n^2}||w^*||_s \leq \frac{m}{8n}||w^*||_s$$

where the last inequality holds for n large enough. We thus have

$$|\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)}(x^*) - \varphi^{(n)}(x^*)| \geq |d_{w_0}\Psi(\frac{1}{n}w^*)(x^*)| - 3\frac{m}{8n}||w^*||_s \geq \frac{m}{2n}||w^*||_s$$

By the Lipschitz property (5) and the choice of r_n

$$\overline{\varphi^{(n)}(B_{r_n}(x^*))} \subseteq B_{\frac{m}{8n}||w^*||_s}(\varphi^{(n)}(x^*))$$

resp

$$\overline{\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)}(B_{r_n}(x^*))} \subseteq B_{\frac{m}{8n}||w^*||_s}(\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)}(x^*))$$

Thus they are supported in balls of radius $\frac{m}{8n}||w^*||_s$ whose centers are more than $\frac{m}{2n}||w^*||_s$ apart. So we have a situation described in [6] and thus we can write with some $C' > 0$

$$||\theta^{(n)} \circ (\varphi^{(n)})^{-1} - \theta^{(n)} \circ (\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)})^{-1}||_s \geq$$
$$C'(||\theta^{(n)} \circ (\varphi^{(n)})^{-1}||_s + ||\theta^{(n)} \circ (\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)})^{-1}||_s) \geq \frac{2C'}{C_1}||\theta^{(n)}||_s = \frac{C'}{C_1}R$$

where we used (4). This shows that we have $\tilde{C}$ with

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||\Phi(w_0^{(n)}) - \Phi(\tilde{w}_0^{(n)})||_s \geq \tilde{C}R$$

whereas $||w_0^{(n)} - \tilde{w}_0^{(n)}||_s \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. As $R \in (0, R_*)$ was arbitrary this proves the proposition. □

References

[1] D. Chae, H.-S. Nam: *Local existence and blow-up criterion for the Boussinesq equations*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, A, 127 (1997), no.5, 935946.

[2] H. Inci, T. Kappeler, P. Topalov: *On the regularity of the composition of diffeomorphisms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 226 (2013), no. 1062

[3] H. Inci: *On the regularity of the solution map of the incompressible Euler equation*, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. 12 (2015), no. 2, 97113

[4] H. Inci: *On the well-posedness of the Holm-Staley b-family of equations*, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, 23:2, 213-233, (2016)

[5] H. Inci: *On a Lagrangian formulation of the incompressible Euler equation*, J. Partial Differ. Equ. 29 (2016), no. 4.

[6] H. Inci: *On the well-posedness of the inviscid SQG equation*, arXiv:1609.08334, 2016.

[7] H. Inci: *On the well-posedness of the hyperelastic rod equation*, arXiv:1611.01188, 2016.

[8] S. Lang: *Differential and Riemannian Manifolds*, 3rd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

Hasan Inci
EPFL SB MATHAA PDE
MA C1 627 (Bâtiment MA)
Station 8
CH-1015 Lausanne
Schwitzerland
email: hasan.inci@epfl.ch